# Cannabis Oil Extraction Equipment

A practical guide to cannabis oil extraction equipment, covering the reader intent, the relationship to cannabis oil extraction equipment, key evaluation criteria, common risks, and the information the intended project audience should confirm before taking the next step.

levelmeter.org
Main topic: Main Page
https://www.level-meters.com/

In the rapidly evolving landscape of botanical processing, the efficiency and safety of cannabis oil extraction equipment depend heavily on the precision of its integrated monitoring systems. Industrial-scale extraction is not merely about the mechanical separation of compounds; it is a complex thermodynamic process involving volatile solvents, high pressures, and strict sanitary requirements. Accurate level measurement is fundamental to automating these processes, preventing vessel overfill, and ensuring the consistency of the final extract.

For engineers and facility managers, selecting the right instrumentation requires an understanding of how different measurement principles interact with the physical properties of solvents like ethanol, CO2, and hydrocarbons. This guide examines the technical requirements for level sensing within cannabis oil extraction equipment and provides a framework for selecting the most reliable technologies.

## Measurement Principles in Extraction Environments

Before integrating sensors into an extraction line, it is essential to understand the physics behind the primary measurement technologies. In the context of cannabis oil extraction equipment, the choice of sensor is often dictated by the dielectric constant of the medium, the operating pressure, and the presence of vapors.

## Radar Level Measurement (GWR and Non-Contact)

Radar technology utilizes high-frequency electromagnetic waves (typically in the GHz range). In Guided Wave Radar (GWR), the pulses are directed along a probe, while non-contact radar emits waves through the air space.

Principle: The sensor measures the Time of Flight (ToF) from emission to the reflection off the liquid surface and back.

Application in Extraction: Radar is highly effective for solvents because it is relatively unaffected by changes in pressure or temperature. However, the dielectric constant ($\varepsilon r$) of the fluid is critical. Ethanol has a high $\varepsilon r$ (~24), making it easy to detect, whereas hydrocarbons like butane have low $\varepsilon r$ (~1.4 to 2.0), requiring high-sensitivity radar modules.

## Ultrasonic Level Sensing

Ultrasonic sensors emit acoustic pulses that reflect off the surface of the liquid.

Principle: Like radar, it uses ToF, but it relies on sound waves rather than electromagnetic waves.

Application in Extraction: These are cost-effective for atmospheric tanks. However, they are generally unsuitable for vacuum extraction or high-pressure CO2 systems because sound requires a medium (air) to travel, and its speed is significantly affected by vapor density and temperature fluctuations common in solvent recovery.

## Hydrostatic Pressure Measurement

This method determines the level by measuring the pressure exerted by the liquid column at the bottom of the tank.

Principle: The pressure is proportional to the height of the liquid and its density ($P = \rho gh$).

Application in Extraction: Hydrostatic transmitters are reliable for large storage tanks of ethanol. Their main limitation in extraction equipment is that they are density-dependent; if the concentration of dissolved oils in the solvent changes significantly, the level reading may drift unless compensated.

## Magnetic Level Gauges

Magnetic gauges use a float containing a magnet that moves with the liquid level inside a bypass chamber.

Principle: The internal magnet interacts with external flags or a transmitter to provide visual and electronic feedback.

Application in Extraction: These are excellent for high-pressure solvent storage where a visual reference is required alongside an electronic signal. They provide a physical fail-safe that does not rely on power for the visual component.

# Key Components of Cannabis Oil Extraction Equipment

To optimize production, level sensors must be strategically placed across the various stages of the extraction and refinement process. Each stage presents unique environmental challenges.

## 1. Solvent Storage and Recovery Tanks

Whether using ethanol or hydrocarbons, maintaining an accurate inventory of solvent is critical for safety and operational continuity. In solvent recovery systems, where the solvent is evaporated away from the oil and then condensed back into a liquid, level sensors must handle rapid temperature changes. Radar sensors are preferred here due to their immunity to the heavy vapors present during condensation.

## 2. Extraction Vessels

In closed-loop systems, the extraction vessel is where the solvent contacts the biomass. Level sensors here must be capable of distinguishing between the liquid solvent and any foam generated during the agitation or circulation process. Guided wave radar is particularly effective in these vessels as the probe can help "cut through" light foam layers to find the true liquid interface.

## 3. Decarboxylation and Distillation Units

Once the crude oil is extracted, it often undergoes decarboxylation (heating to activate compounds) and distillation. These processes involve high temperatures and high vacuum levels. Level measurement in these units is challenging; sensors must be rated for high-vacuum service to prevent seal failure. For those looking to Review product options and application support, it is vital to ensure the selected instruments are rated for the specific vacuum and temperature ranges of the distillation hardware.

## Technical Selection Table

The following table provides a comparison of level measurement technologies commonly integrated into cannabis oil extraction equipment.

| Technology | Accuracy | Ideal Media | Pressure Range | Limitations |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Guided Wave Radar | ±2 mm | Ethanol, Crude Oil | Vacuum to 40+ bar | Probe buildup with heavy resins |
| Non-Contact Radar | ±3 mm | Solvents, Oils | Vacuum to 20 bar | Sensitive to heavy surface foam |
| Ultrasonic | ±0.25% Range | Water, Ethanol | Atmospheric | Fails in vacuum or heavy vapor |
| Hydrostatic | ±0.1% Span | Bulk Solvents | Atmospheric to 10 bar | Density changes cause errors |
| Magnetic Gauge | ±5 mm | Hydrocarbons, $CO_2$ | Up to 100+ bar | Mechanical float can get stuck |

## Installation Considerations and Safety

Cannabis oil extraction equipment often operates in hazardous locations due to the use of flammable solvents like ethanol, butane, or propane. Therefore, all electrical instrumentation must adhere to strict safety standards.

1. Hazardous Area Ratings: Sensors must be ATEX or IECEx certified (typically Zone 0 or Zone 1) to ensure they do not become an ignition source in the event of a solvent leak.

2. Sanitary Requirements: Since the end product is for human consumption, sensors in contact with the process fluid should be made of 316L stainless steel with a high surface finish (typically $Ra < 0.8$ µm). Connections should use Tri-Clamp or other hygienic fittings to prevent bacterial growth.

3. Mounting Position: Sensors should be mounted away from inlet pipes to avoid turbulence and false readings. For radar sensors, a "dead zone" or "blocking distance" exists near the top of the sensor where measurement is not possible; this must be accounted for in the tank design to prevent overfilling.

4. Metric and Imperial Standards: While many extraction systems are designed in the United States using imperial units (inches, PSI), most industrial sensors are manufactured to metric standards (mm, bar). It is standard practice to use metric units for internal calibration to maintain high precision, providing converted values to the PLC (Programmable Logic Controller) for the user interface.

## Limitations and Challenges

Despite the advanced technology available, certain factors can interfere with accurate level measurement in cannabis oil extraction equipment:

Viscosity and Coating: Crude cannabis oil is extremely viscous and adhesive. Over time, this can coat the probes of guided wave radar or the floats of magnetic gauges. Regular cleaning cycles using CIP (Clean-In-Place) systems with hot ethanol are necessary to maintain accuracy.

Dielectric Fluctuations: As the concentration of cannabinoids in the solvent increases, the dielectric constant of the mixture changes. While radar is robust, extreme shifts may require recalibration of the sensor's sensitivity thresholds.

Vapor and Boiling: In solvent recovery, the liquid is often at its boiling point. The resulting turbulence and vapor can attenuate ultrasonic signals entirely, reinforcing why radar or hydrostatic methods are preferred for these specific components.

## Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Q: Can I use a single type of level sensor for the entire extraction facility?

A: While radar is the most versatile, it is often not cost-effective to use it for every application. A combination of radar for process vessels and hydrostatic or ultrasonic sensors for bulk water or waste storage is usually the most efficient approach.

Q: How does vacuum affect level measurement?

A: Vacuum eliminates the use of ultrasonic sensors because there is no air to transmit the sound waves. Radar and hydrostatic sensors work well in vacuums, provided the seals (typically Viton or Kalrez) are rated for vacuum service to prevent air ingress.

Q: What is the maintenance schedule for level sensors in oil extraction?

A: In a 24/7 production environment, sensors should be visually inspected monthly for coating or resin buildup. Calibration should be verified annually using a manual dip tape or by comparing the sensor output to a known volume during a tank fill cycle.

## Conclusion

Precision in cannabis oil extraction equipment is achieved through the careful integration of mechanical design and electronic monitoring. By understanding the measurement principles of radar, ultrasonic, and hydrostatic systems, operators can ensure their facility runs safely and at peak efficiency. Selecting the correct instrumentation not only protects the equipment from dry-running pumps or overfilling tanks but also ensures the high-purity standards required in the modern botanical market. For further technical specifications and to explore a wide range of industrial measurement solutions, engineers are encouraged to visit the Main Page of professional instrument manufacturers to find the best fit for their specific extraction chemistry and vessel geometry.