Clearas

A practical guide to clearas, covering the reader intent, the relationship to clearas, key evaluation criteria, common risks, and the information the intended project audience should confirm before taking the next step.

levelmeter.org
Main topic: Main Page
https://www.level-meters.com/

In the evolving landscape of industrial wastewater treatment and resource recovery, the implementation of advanced biological nutrient recovery (ABNR) systems, often associated with technologies like Clearas, represents a significant shift toward circular economy principles. These systems leverage the natural biological processes of algae to remove phosphorus and nitrogen from wastewater, transforming potential pollutants into valuable biomass. For plant engineers and system integrators, the success of these biological reactors hinges on precise process control, where accurate level measurement serves as a foundational requirement.

Effective management of a Clearas-based recovery system requires a deep understanding of how various level measurement technologies interact with complex biological media. This article explores the measurement principles, selection criteria, and installation best practices for level instrumentation within nutrient recovery environments, ensuring operational stability and optimized biomass yields.

Measurement Principles for Nutrient Recovery Systems

Before selecting specific instrumentation for a Clearas process, it is essential to understand the physics behind the primary measurement technologies used in industrial automation. In biological nutrient recovery, the media often contains varying concentrations of suspended solids, algae, and chemical additives, which can influence sensor performance.

Radar Level Measurement (FMCW)

Radar level meters, particularly those utilizing Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave (FMCW) technology, are widely considered the gold standard for reactor vessels. These sensors emit a continuous high-frequency signal (typically 80GHz) that increases in frequency over time. The signal reflects off the surface of the liquid and is received by the antenna. The difference in frequency between the emitted and received signal is directly proportional to the distance.

In the context of nutrient recovery, 80GHz radar offers a narrow beam angle (often as low as 3 degrees), which allows the signal to bypass internal obstructions like agitators, baffles, or aeration piping common in biological tanks. Because radar is non-contact and independent of air temperature, pressure, or vapor, it remains highly accurate even in humid reactor environments.

Ultrasonic Level Measurement

Ultrasonic sensors operate on the time-of-flight principle. The sensor head emits an ultrasonic pulse that travels through the air, hits the liquid surface, and returns to the transducer. The distance is calculated based on the speed of sound. While cost-effective, ultrasonic sensors are sensitive to air temperature fluctuations and heavy foam. In many Clearas applications, where algae concentrations can lead to surface froth, ultrasonic sensors require careful positioning or the use of foam-compensation algorithms.

Hydrostatic Pressure Measurement

Hydrostatic transmitters measure the pressure exerted by the liquid column above the sensor diaphragm. This pressure is converted into a level reading based on the density of the fluid ($P = \rho gh$). This is a contact-based measurement. For nutrient recovery, hydrostatic sensors must be equipped with flush diaphragms to prevent algae buildup or "biofouling" from clogging the sensing element. They are particularly useful in deep storage tanks where surface turbulence might interfere with top-down non-contact sensors.

Selecting Level Sensors for Clearas Components

A typical nutrient recovery installation consists of several distinct stages, each presenting unique challenges for level monitoring. Choosing the right instrument depends on the specific characteristics of the stage.

1. Feed and Equalization Tanks

In the initial stage, wastewater is collected and homogenized before entering the biological reactors. These tanks often experience significant turbulence and varying solids content.

Recommended Technology: Non-contact Radar or Hydrostatic Transmitters.

Reasoning: Radar handles turbulence well through advanced signal processing (echo tracking), while hydrostatic sensors provide a reliable measurement regardless of surface foam.

2. Photobioreactors (PBR)

The PBR is the heart of the Clearas process, where algae consume nutrients under controlled light and flow conditions. Level control here is critical to ensure optimal light penetration and to prevent overflows that could lead to biomass loss.

Recommended Technology: 80GHz High-Frequency Radar.

Reasoning: The narrow beam of an 80GHz radar is essential for the narrow, often vertical piping or specialized tank geometries found in PBRs. It avoids false reflections from the walls and internal mixing equipment.

3. Harvesting and Clarification

Once the algae have consumed the nutrients, they must be separated from the treated water. This occurs in clarifiers or dissolved air flotation (DAF) units. Monitoring the "sludge blanket" or the liquid level above the separated biomass is vital.

Recommended Technology: Ultrasonic or Radar.

Reasoning: Since these tanks are often open to the atmosphere, ultrasonic sensors provide a cost-effective solution, provided that the distance to the liquid is within the sensor's effective range (typically up to 15 meters).

Technical Comparison and Selection Matrix

The following table provides a comparison of measurement technologies based on the typical operating conditions found in nutrient recovery and wastewater treatment plants.

Feature	80GHz Radar	Ultrasonic	Hydrostatic	Magnetic Gauge
Accuracy	±1 mm	±0.25% of range	±0.1% to 0.5%	±5 mm
Measurement Range	Up to 120m	Up to 30m	Up to 200m H2O	Up to 6m
Contact Type	Non-contact	Non-contact	Contact	Contact
Foam Resistance	Excellent	Poor to Fair	Excellent	Good
Maintenance	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Process Temp.	-40 to +200°C	-40 to +80°C	-20 to +85°C	-40 to +450°C

For a comprehensive range of industrial instruments tailored to these specifications, engineers can Review product options and application support to find the exact match for their system requirements.

Installation Guidelines for Algae-Rich Environments

Proper installation is as critical as sensor selection. In Clearas-related applications, the presence of biological growth (biofilm) and the need for regular cleaning cycles must be accounted for during the design phase.

1. Avoid the Blind Zone: Every top-down sensor (radar and ultrasonic) has a "dead zone" or "blind zone" directly beneath the sensor where measurements are not possible. Ensure the sensor is mounted high enough so that the maximum liquid level never enters this zone (typically 50mm to 200mm depending on the model).

2. Nozzle Geometry: For radar installations, the nozzle diameter and height should be optimized to prevent signal interference. A short nozzle with a diameter larger than the antenna is preferred.

3. Positioning Away from Inlets: Never install a level sensor directly above a fill pipe. The falling liquid will create false echoes and significant noise, leading to erratic readings.

4. Biofouling Prevention: In algae harvesting tanks, sensors should be positioned to allow for easy access for periodic wiping. For hydrostatic sensors, use a flange-mounted design with a flush diaphragm to minimize areas where algae can accumulate.

5. Stilling Wells: In cases of extreme surface agitation or heavy foam, installing the sensor inside a stilling well (a vertical pipe) can provide a calm surface for more accurate measurement. However, the pipe must be cleaned regularly to prevent algae growth from bridging the gap between the pipe wall and the sensor signal.

Limitations and Troubleshooting

While modern instrumentation is highly robust, certain conditions in biological nutrient recovery can challenge even the best sensors.

Heavy Foam: In some stages of algae processing, thick protein-based foam can develop. This foam can absorb ultrasonic pulses entirely. Radar is more resistant, but extremely dense, wet foam may still attenuate the signal. In these cases, a guided wave radar (GWR) or a hydrostatic sensor is a better alternative.

Condensation: High humidity in enclosed PBRs can lead to water droplets forming on the sensor face. While 80GHz radars are designed to shed condensation, excessive buildup can cause a "near-field" interference. Choosing a sensor with a PTFE or PFA encapsulated antenna helps mitigate this issue.

| Signal Loss: If the sensor intermittently loses the signal, check for agitator blades. Most modern radar units, such as those found on the Welk Main Page, include "false echo suppression" software that allows the user to map out fixed obstructions.

Frequently Asked Questions

Q: How does algae concentration affect radar measurement?

A: Algae-laden water typically has a high dielectric constant (similar to water, $\epsilonr \approx 80$), which makes it an excellent reflector for radar signals. The concentration of algae generally does not negatively impact radar accuracy, though it may necessitate more frequent cleaning of contact-based sensors.

Q: Can I use ultrasonic sensors in outdoor nutrient recovery ponds?

A: Yes, but you must use sensors with integrated temperature compensation. Because the speed of sound changes with air temperature, an uncompensated sensor will show significant errors as the sun heats the air above the pond. Also, consider the effect of wind on the ultrasonic pulse.

Q: What is the benefit of 80GHz radar over 26GHz radar in these applications?

A: The 80GHz frequency allows for a much smaller antenna and a tighter beam. This is particularly useful in Clearas systems where space is limited, or where sensors must be mounted through small 1-inch or 2-inch NPT ports. The tighter beam also reduces the likelihood of interference from tank walls.

Q: How often should hydrostatic level transmitters be calibrated in biological service?

A: In high-growth biological environments, it is recommended to check the zero-point calibration every 3 to 6 months. While the electronics are stable, the physical buildup of biofilm on the diaphragm can cause a slight "drift" in the pressure reading.

By integrating reliable level measurement solutions, operators of Clearas and other nutrient recovery systems can ensure that their biological processes remain within the optimal parameters for environmental compliance and resource efficiency. For further technical specifications and to explore the full range of level measurement technologies, visit the Welk Main Page.