Who Invented Amine Sweetening Process for Natural Gas

A practical guide to who invented amine sweetening process for natural gas, covering the reader intent, the relationship to who invented amine sweetening process for natural gas, key evaluation criteria, common risks, and the information the intended project audience should confirm before taking the next step.

levelmeter.org
Main topic: Main Page
https://www.level-meters.com/

The removal of acid gases, such as hydrogen sulfide (H2S) and carbon dioxide (CO2), is a fundamental requirement in natural gas processing. This process, known as "sweetening," ensures that the gas meets pipeline specifications, prevents corrosion in infrastructure, and reduces environmental impact. While various methods have been employed over the last century, the amine sweetening process remains the industry standard. To understand the evolution of this technology, it is essential to look back at the early 20th century to identify who invented amine sweetening process for natural gas and how their work paved the way for modern industrial automation.

The Historical Foundation of Amine Sweetening

The invention of the amine sweetening process is credited to Robert Roger Bottoms, an American chemist and researcher. In 1930, Bottoms filed a landmark patent (US Patent 1,783,901, issued in 1931) for the use of alkanolamines to remove acidic impurities from gas streams. Before this invention, the industry relied on less efficient methods, such as water washing or the Seaboard process, which utilized sodium carbonate solutions. These earlier methods often suffered from low capacity, high energy requirements, and the inability to achieve the low H2S concentrations required for modern safety standards.

Bottoms' research, conducted primarily through the Girdler Corporation, identified that alkanolamines—specifically triethanolamine (TEA) in the early stages—offered a unique combination of high alkalinity and the ability to be regenerated through heating. This discovery revolutionized the oil and gas sector, allowing for the processing of "sour" gas fields that were previously considered unviable. Over the decades, the process was refined to utilize more effective amines like Monoethanolamine (MEA), Diethanolamine (DEA), and Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA), each offering specific advantages in terms of selectivity and energy efficiency.

Understanding the Amine Sweetening Process Mechanics

The amine sweetening process is a cyclic procedure involving two primary stages: absorption and regeneration.

1. Absorption Stage: Sour gas enters the bottom of an absorber column (or contactor) and flows upward. A "lean" amine solution (amine that has been stripped of acid gases) is introduced at the top of the column and flows downward. As the gas and liquid come into contact, a chemical reaction occurs where the amine molecules bond with the H_2S and CO_2 molecules. The gas exiting the top is now "sweet."

2. Regeneration Stage: The "rich" amine solution (containing the absorbed acid gases) is sent to a regenerator column (or stripper). Here, the solution is heated, typically using a reboiler, to break the chemical bonds between the amine and the acid gases. The H_2S and CO_2 are released as an overhead gas stream, while the regenerated lean amine is cooled and pumped back to the absorber to repeat the cycle.

For engineers managing these facilities, maintaining the balance between these two stages is critical. This is where high-precision instrumentation, such as those found on the Welk Main Page, becomes indispensable for process safety and efficiency.

The Critical Role of Level Measurement in Amine Units

In an amine sweetening plant, level measurement is not merely a monitoring task; it is a vital safety and control function. There are several key areas where level must be precisely managed:

Absorber Bottoms: Maintaining a liquid seal at the bottom of the absorber is essential to prevent high-pressure gas from "blowing through" into the low-pressure regeneration system, which could lead to catastrophic vessel failure.

Flash Tank: After the absorber, the rich amine often passes through a flash tank to remove dissolved hydrocarbons. Level control here ensures proper separation of the gas and liquid phases.

Regenerator Reflux Drum: Controlling the level of condensed water and amine ensures that the stripper maintains the correct water balance, preventing the amine solution from becoming too concentrated or too dilute.

Reboiler Level: The reboiler must maintain a specific liquid level to ensure the heating elements (tubes) are always submerged, preventing localized overheating and amine degradation.

Measurement Principles and Technology Selection

Before selecting a level transmitter for an amine unit, it is necessary to understand the physical principles governing different technologies. Amine solutions present unique challenges, including foaming, varying densities, and corrosive properties.

Radar Level Measurement (Guided Wave and Non-Contact)

Radar technology operates on the Time-of-Flight (ToF) principle. A sensor emits high-frequency electromagnetic pulses that travel at the speed of light. When these pulses hit the surface of the amine solution, they are reflected back to the sensor. The distance is calculated based on the time interval between emission and reception.

Guided Wave Radar (GWR): Uses a probe to guide the signal. This is highly effective for amine units because the probe helps the signal penetrate foam, which is a common issue in amine contactors. GWR is also excellent for measuring the interface between amine and condensed hydrocarbons.

Non-Contact Radar: Emits signals through the air. It is ideal for corrosive environments as the sensor does not need to touch the liquid, though it can be affected by heavy foaming unless a stilling well is used.

Ultrasonic Level Measurement

Ultrasonic sensors emit sound waves that reflect off the liquid surface. While cost-effective for atmospheric tanks, they are generally not recommended for high-pressure amine absorbers because changes in gas density and the presence of vapors can significantly alter the speed of sound, leading to inaccuracies.

Magnetic Level Gauges (MLG)

MLGs utilize a float containing a magnet that moves within a bypass chamber. As the float rises and falls with the liquid level, it flips magnetic flags on an external scale. Welk manufactures robust MLGs that provide clear visual indication and can be equipped with reed switches or transmitters for remote monitoring. These are preferred for their reliability in high-pressure and high-temperature applications.

Hydrostatic Level Measurement

This method measures the pressure exerted by the liquid column. Since Pressure = Density × Gravity × Height, the level can be calculated if the density is constant. However, in amine units, the density of the "rich" vs. "lean" amine can vary, requiring temperature and pressure compensation for accuracy.

Practical Selection Table for Amine Level Instrumentation

Technology	Suitability for Amine	Foaming Resistance	Maintenance	Typical Application
Guided Wave Radar	Excellent	High	Low	Absorber Bottoms, Flash Tanks
Non-Contact Radar	Good	Moderate	Low	Lean Amine Storage
Magnetic Level Gauge	Excellent	High	Medium	Reboilers, High-Pressure Vessels
Hydrostatic Trans.	Fair	Low	Medium	Atmospheric Storage Tanks
Ultrasonic	Poor	Low	Low	Water Wash Tanks (Low Pressure)

Installation and Maintenance Considerations

To ensure the longevity and accuracy of level instruments in an amine sweetening environment, the following engineering practices should be followed:

1. Stilling Wells and Bypass Chambers: For radar and ultrasonic sensors, using a stilling well or a bypass chamber (side-mount) is highly recommended. This isolates the measurement from the turbulence and foam common in the main vessel.

2. Material Compatibility: Amines, particularly when "rich" with H_2S and CO_2, are highly corrosive. All wetted parts (probes, floats, flanges) should be constructed from 316L Stainless Steel or higher alloys like Hastelloy C-276, depending on the concentration of acid gases.

3. Nozzle Positioning: Avoid placing level sensors directly under the amine inlet nozzles. The falling liquid can create false echoes for radar sensors or cause mechanical wear on floats.

4. Temperature Management: In the regenerator and reboiler, temperatures can exceed 120°C (248°F). Ensure the electronics of the transmitter are thermally isolated from the process connection using cooling fins or remote mounting.

Limitations and Operational Challenges

While modern instrumentation is highly advanced, the amine process presents inherent limitations:

Foaming: This is the most significant challenge. Chemical contaminants or hydrocarbons can cause the amine to foam, which can lead to "false high" level readings or signal loss in non-contact sensors. Regular anti-foam injection and the use of GWR technology are the primary mitigations.

Amine Degradation: Over time, amines break down into heat-stable salts. These salts can increase the conductivity and density of the fluid, potentially affecting hydrostatic or capacitance-based measurements. Periodic lab analysis of the amine solution is required to recalibrate instruments if necessary.

Pressure Fluctuations: High-pressure absorbers (up to 70-100 bar) require instruments with appropriate pressure ratings. Standard ultrasonic sensors are typically limited to 3 bar and are unsuitable for these vessels.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Q: Who invented amine sweetening process for natural gas and why was it necessary?

A: Robert Roger Bottoms invented the process in 1930. It was necessary because earlier gas cleaning methods were inefficient and could not remove H_2S and CO_2 to the levels required for safe pipeline transport and industrial use.

Q: What is the most common amine used today?

A: Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) is widely used due to its selectivity for H_2S over CO_2 and its lower energy requirements for regeneration compared to MEA or DEA.

Q: Can I use a single level sensor for both the amine and the hydrocarbon layer?

A: Yes, Guided Wave Radar is specifically designed to measure interface levels. It can track both the top of the hydrocarbon layer and the interface between the hydrocarbon and the amine solution simultaneously.

Q: How often should Welk level meters be calibrated in an amine unit?

A: While Welk instruments are designed for long-term stability, we recommend a verification check every 12 to 18 months, or during scheduled plant turnarounds, especially in corrosive rich-amine service.

Q: Does foaming affect Magnetic Level Gauges?

A: Generally, no. Because the float responds to the density of the liquid and not the foam, MLGs are one of the most reliable ways to verify the actual liquid level when foaming occurs.

By understanding the history of the amine process and the technical requirements of modern measurement, engineers can ensure their gas processing facilities operate at peak efficiency. For more technical data on selecting the right sensor for your application, please refer to the Welk Main Page.